

STAFF REPORT: Office of The Chief Administrative Officer

REPORT TO: Council
MEETING DATE: August 30, 2010
REPORT NO.: CAO.10.13
SUBJECT: CAO Performance Monitoring
PREPARED BY: Paul Graham,
Chief Administrative Officer

A. Recommendation

THAT Council receive Report CAO.10.13 entitled "CAO Performance Monitoring" for their information and;

THAT Council approve the CAO Performance Monitoring Policy and Procedures dated August 30, 2010 as attached to this Report.

B. Background

Organizations who wish to move forward with the implementation of Performance Management require policies and procedures to accomplish this goal. The CAO Performance Monitoring Policy and Procedures which is before Council at the present time, is one of several policies which will be updated over the next year. The policy and procedures attached to this Report are presented in draft for Council's consideration.

C. The Blue Mountains' Strategic Plan

Strategic Goal #6 speaks of providing strong, well managed municipal government. The use of best practices by Council and Staff are critical to achieving this goal.

D. Environmental Impact

None

E. Budget Impact

None

F. Attachment

CAO Performance Monitoring Policy and Procedures dated August 30, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

J.P. (Paul) Graham, P. Eng.
Chief Administrative Officer

For more information, please contact
Paul Graham
pgraham@thebluemountains.ca
519-599-3131 ext. 234

TOWN OF THE BLUE MOUNTAINS

POLICY & PROCEDURES

Subject Title: CAO Performance Monitoring

Corporate Policy (Approved by Council)

Policy Ref. No.:

Administrative Policy (Approved by CAO)

By-law No.: 2007-

Department Policy: (Approved by Mgr.)

Name of Dept.: Administration

Date Approved: August 30, 2010

Staff Report: CAO.10.13

Policy Statement

Systematic and continuous monitoring of Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) job performance will be measured against the expected results to be achieved by the CAO. This will include setting goals for the CAO annually.

Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to provide clear and concise direction on managing the performance of CAO.

The Mayor and Deputy Mayor and/or other members of council shall meet quarterly with the CAO to discuss progress on the CAO's goals. This will provide an opportunity to revise the goals and to identify any new concerns. This will help ensure that there are no major surprises in the Annual Performance Review.

The CAO's salary will be reviewed annually and adjusted as part of the monitoring and assessment process if appropriate, in order to provide fair and reasonable compensation for the quality of the job being performed by the CAO.

Application

The purpose of monitoring is to determine the degree to which Council policies are met.

Council will set annual goals for the CAO, in consultation with the CAO which are measurable. Each action shall have a target date for completion.

In every case, the standard for assessing CAO compliance with Council policies and achieving the set of goals shall be based upon reasonable interpretation of the Council direction by the CAO.

Performance of the CAO will be monitored at least at a frequency as outlined in the Ontario Municipal Act and by a method determined by Council.

Procedures

1. Council may normally use a facilitator to assist with the Chief Administrative Officer's annual work performance evaluation process. A majority of Council, in consultation with the CAO, may decide to engage a process facilitator or to conduct business without a facilitator. A majority of Council may decide to engage the services of the Manager of Human Resources, or take into account other factors, including the availability of the Manager of Human Resources, to contract an external facilitator.
2. The process shall commence in late spring or early summer of each year.
3. In April or May, the Manager of Human Resources shall consult with Council in consultation with the CAO regarding the selection of the facilitator.
4. Council and the CAO shall review the previous year's assessment instrument and determine the content of the assessment instrument for the current year. If Council and the CAO do not agree on the assessment instrument, the majority of Council shall determine the format and content of the assessment instrument. The instrument may be adjusted to include assessment of special projects or assigned issues that may arise later during the year.
5. In non-election years, Council and the CAO shall review the initial performance assessment tool again during December to decide what additional special projects or assigned issues need to be added. In election years, the entire instrument shall be reviewed with the new Council, who will be encouraged to keep major changes to a minimum in order to preserve continuity in the interests of fairness to the CAO.
6. During December, Council and the CAO shall determine whether to include the use of 360- degree input into the process. If Council and the CAO do not agree, the majority of Council shall determine the extent of the 360- degree input.
7. If there is agreement, Council and the CAO shall determine the sources of input into the 360- degree instrument. All of the input shall be kept completely confidential and may be submitted anonymously with the permission of the participant.
 - a) The facilitator shall prepare a 360 – degree assessment instrument to complement the main instrument assessment. Council, in consultation with the CAO and the facilitator, shall finalize the instrument in January.

- b) Before the end of January, the 360-degree assessment instrument shall be sent to the parties providing input with a request for response by the end of February.
 - c) Before the end of January, Council members shall be asked to complete their initial assessment of the CAO and provide their returns to the facilitator by the end of February.
8. The information shall be consolidated and only composite results shall be released to members of Council by the first week of March. At this point the CAO shall not receive any of the input.
 9. A closed session of Council shall be scheduled with the facilitator and Council shall attempt to arrive at a consensus that will become the final evaluation. The facilitator shall take notes and prepare a draft of the corresponding portion of the evaluation.

Council shall determine which, if any, of the raw material gathered should be provided to the CAO. Any information provided shall be provided in composite detail without identifying any individual or group of individuals.

Council shall determine the CAO's salary. The Manager of Human Resources shall gather salary data from the comparators as agreed upon. If there is a fully satisfactory performance rating of the CAO, the CAO's salary should be established at the 60th percentile of the comparators effective January 1 of that calendar year. Council may decide on an exception basis to provide compensation different than the 60th percentile or a performance bonus, depending on special circumstances.

10. Council will take about 2 weeks to review the final document.
11. The document shall be signed by the Mayor and Deputy Mayor and presented to the CAO by the end of March by the Mayor and Deputy Mayor. If a majority of Council determines that minor modifications are required during the two week review period, the facilitator shall solicit input and confirm the revisions with all member of council.
12. The CAO shall then draft an Action Plan for the next year to be presented to Council. The Plan shall have goals and specific actions. When Council accepts the Action Plan, the Mayor and CAO will sign and date the Plan. The Plan will be reviewed quarterly and be revised as required.

Review Cycle

This policy will be reviewed annually by the CAO and Council.

(Signature)

DRAFT